

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC

*Pinki

Paper Received: 03.11.2021 / **Paper Accepted:** 01.12.2021 / **Paper Published:** 03.12.2021

Corresponding Author: Pinki; doi:10.46360/cosmos.mgt.420212015

Abstract

The COVID-19 epidemic has sparked a worldwide experiment, fundamentally changing the paths of economic expansion and environmental preservation. This research article examines the many effects of the pandemic on these two crucial aspects of global growth. The study examines the direct environmental impacts, specifically demonstrating decreases in emissions, enhancements in air quality, and changes in energy consumption patterns as a result of lockdown measures and modified human behaviours. Nevertheless, it recognises the difficulties presented by the growing amount of garbage produced, namely single-use plastics, and the burden placed on current waste management infrastructure. Simultaneously, the research analyses the complex network of economic transformations triggered by the pandemic. This statement discusses the significant decline in the economy, the widespread loss of jobs in various industries, and the consequent introduction of government interventions with the goal of rejuvenating economies. The study examines the correlation between environmental sustainability and economic growth, investigating the impact of pandemic reactions on legislative debates, measures for economic recovery, and consumer habits. Significantly, it examines the rise of green recovery strategies, with a focus on allocating funds towards renewable energy, sustainable infrastructure, and the establishment of environmentally-friendly employment opportunities. This study examines the complex relationship between immediate environmental advantages and future economic difficulties from a multidisciplinary perspective. It emphasises the need for a well-balanced approach that combines economic recovery with sustainable methods. This highlights the importance of reconsidering growth patterns and giving priority to resilience, equity, and sustainable development in the efforts to recover from the epidemic. This research adds to the continuing discussion on the crucial connection between environmental sustainability and economic growth. It offers valuable insights and recommendations for policymakers, entrepreneurs, and global stakeholders as they navigate the complex challenges of a post-pandemic world.

Keywords: Environmental, Economic, COVID-19.

Introduction

The delicate relationship that exists between environmental sustainability and economic growth has arisen as a focal focus of world discourse in the wake of the disruption that has been produced by the COVID-19 epidemic. In addition to posing significant problems to public health and societal norms, the virus's extraordinary disruption not only sparked an unintentional experiment in redefining our approach to economic development and sustainability, but it also offered fundamental difficulties to public health.

With the use of this study, we will attempt to investigate and analyse the myriad of dynamics that took place during the pandemic, with the goal of elucidating the intricate relationship that exists between environmental sustainability and economic growth. The pandemic, with its far-reaching effects on human behaviour, industrial operations, and legislative responses, turned out to be an unanticipated driver for change across all of these different sectors.

During the initial period of the pandemic, there were constraints on travel that had never been seen before, which meant that there was an unexpected decrease in activities that were caused by humans. This sudden pause in travel, industrial operations, and commuting resulted to noticeable environmental advantages, such as clearer skies and reduced emissions, which provided a look into the possibility of a more sustainable future on the horizon.

Nevertheless, these environmental benefits are accompanied by a number of complex problems. The complexity of maintaining positive environmental trends in the midst of a worldwide crisis was brought to light by the spike in demand for single-use plastics and the strain that was placed on waste management systems.

Parallel to this, the epidemic caused significant economic shocks, which in turn led to recessions and the loss of jobs on a global scale. Governments responded by implementing an extraordinary fiscal

measures in this effort to the support economies; nevertheless, these policies also generated significant considerations regarding the compatibility of efforts to revive the economy with sustainable practices.

Through an examination of the fragile equilibrium that exists between short-term economic imperatives and long-term environmental sustainability goals, the purpose of this study is to delve deeper into this conundrum. The purpose of this exercise is to analyse the policy reactions, economic restructuring, and sociological upheavals that were brought about by the epidemic, with the intention of providing insights into the possible ways forward.

Furthermore, the purpose of this research is to emphasise the importance of recalibrating our growth paradigms and to advocate for a holistic approach that promotes the integration of economic revitalization and environmental stewardship. In order to pave the path for a more resilient and sustainable future, it aims to identify possibilities that have emerged as a result of the crisis. Some examples of these opportunities include the adoption of green recovery plans and sustainable investment methods.

Mohan (2020) This perspective piece looks at the current framework for sustainable development in the context of COVID-19 and makes the case that it is strong enough to handle a variety of long-term global issues, such as poverty, pandemics, and climate change. Major current unsustainability is brought to light by COVID-19. Examples of these include unfavourable linkages between ecological and socio-economic systems, such as human encroachment into wildlife areas, which has made it easier for the coronavirus to spread. In order to reorder the priorities for sustainable development issues, seven preliminary policy-relevant lessons are presented: safeguard the environment and prevent harmful feedbacks; identify integrated, globally-coordinated, systems-based long-term solutions for numerous issues; enable people to take immediate action; concentrate on social issues; pursue a transformative path to sustainability through balanced inclusive green growth (BIGG); encourage sustainable urban habitats and lifestyles by utilising digital technology; and employ improved risk analysis and management.

Lenzen (2020) We are all aware of the pandemic's significant social and economic repercussions. These outcomes are the results of global production and consumption decreases, countermeasures like lockdowns, and cascading effects throughout global supply systems. We capture both direct and indirect spillover impacts of the pandemic in terms

of social and economic losses as well as environmental repercussions through the use of a worldwide multi-regional macro-economic model.

The purpose of this study is to make a contribution to the continuing conversation about the deep lessons that may be learnt from the convergence of a global health crisis, economic turmoil, and environmental imperatives. The purpose of this report is to provide policymakers, corporations, and global stakeholders with direction and recommendations as they traverse the uncharted area of a world that has been affected by a pandemic. This will be accomplished by navigating this complex environment.

Environmental Sustainability

The global health crisis COVID-19 has forced the world to both acknowledge the pressing need for sustainable development and respond to it with a philosophy based on those principles. In a similar vein, the nations that have so far responded to the challenges presented by COVID-19 most successfully have done so by accelerating their shift to economies and communities characterised by the values of sustainable development. These nations have reshaped economic patterns to encourage more resource efficiency, cleaner and more accessible urban environments, and climate-conscious outcomes. They have also made their education, health, and social support systems more inclusive by leveraging the potential of recent technological advancements (Bajpai, 2020).

Up until now, India has lacked the infrastructure required to launch a COVID-19 response that would have satisfied the population's demands during the crisis and set the stage for addressing the difficulties India would confront for the rest of this century. However, India has demonstrated its capacity for disruptive, revolutionary, and national transformation, particularly during the Modi administration. India must make this transition right away if it hopes to avoid being the nation most affected by the crisis globally, yet modern times need more than just a survival mentality. If India is able to resurrect its response, there is still time to reform it in a way that saves as many lives as possible and equips the economy and society as a whole to face the numerous problems that lie ahead.

- **Decreased Emissions:** Lockdowns and limitations led to a reduction in the amount of transportation and industrial activity, which resulted in a temporary improvement in the quality of the air and water in many regions.

- **Changes in Behaviour:** People commuted less and relied more on virtual communication, which contributed to a reduction in carbon emissions. Employees who worked from home and reduced their travel led to these changes.
- **Management Challenges:** The rise in the usage of single-use plastics (such as masks and gloves) and the rise in the number of people shopping online have both contributed to the creation of trash management and recycling challenges.
- **Renewable Energy:** As a result of a decline in demand for fossil fuels, many regions have seen an increase in their reliance on renewable energy sources, which demonstrates the promise for a more environmentally friendly energy future.

Economic Growth

The COVID-19 outbreak Currently wrecking havoc around the world has forced millions of people into confinement, creating doubts about the severity of the situation and a profound dread that more infectious waves may emerge in the future. Quarantine is said to have the benefit of allowing one to rethink beliefs and consider how to live in a world that appears to be changing unavoidably.

We have both witnessed and contributed to the narrative that has recently dominated the global economy and positioned the company at the forefront of tackling environmental sustainability concerns as researchers in the field of business sustainability. While there doesn't seem to be any opposition to businesses helping to solve environmental sustainability issues, it is important to consider how the COVID-19 pandemic might impact how they approach this challenge and, particularly, how much longer they should be the main responders.

Concerning the first point, business sustainability has historically been predicated on eco-efficiency and innovation that come from advancements in technology used in production and consumption processes. But because they reflect the prevailing hegemony of the moment, the dynamics of economic development and consumption patterns - the core of actual unsustainability - remain unavoidable. In a manner, eco-efficiency is the colonial path capitalism has taken with environmentalism. However, this paradigm has utterly failed to control the typically negative interaction that exists between humans and their biophysical surroundings, particularly in industrialised civilizations. The majority of people are mostly unaware of the significance of

biodiversity for our survival as well as for our bodily and mental well-being, because to this intense separation. Despite all of our technical progress, we are still animals, subject to the physical and biological constraints of our environment.

- **Economic Contraction:** The epidemic caused huge economic slowdowns around the world, with several industries, particularly the travel and hospitality industry as well as small enterprises, suffering serious losses.
- **Job Losses:** There was widespread unemployment in a variety of industries, which resulted in increasing income disparities and financial instability for a great number of individuals and families.
- **Interventions by the Government:** In an effort to lessen the impact and to restart economic growth, numerous governments imposed economic assistance measures and stimulus packages on their own economies.
- **Alterations in Consumer Behaviour:** Alterations in consumer purchasing patterns, with an emphasis on essentials and online purchases, led to alterations in the dynamics of the market.

Discussion

The study exhorts us to use the current global crisis as a chance to enact the significant structural reforms that are required to move institutions, policies, and outmoded ways of thinking towards sustainability. A sustainability test called COVID-19 has uncovered significant flaws in the current system. Different and unusual approaches have been adopted by countries with various economic, socio-cultural, environmental, and health service capabilities to control the pandemic, with variable degrees of success. Human well-being, encompassing health, the economy, employment, businesses, supply chains, work patterns, community life, social interactions, instructional methods, human relationships, and the environment, has already been significantly impacted by the disease and reaction measures. Long-term effects on our behaviour and life organisation are inevitable. While some believe the epidemic will spark a paradigm change that will allow for the emergence of a better world, others fear that the current unsustainability of the uneven status quo will just get worse.

- **Implications for the Long Term:** Policymakers who want to restore

economies without making environmental problems worse have made striking a balance between economic recovery and environmental sustainability a central focus of their efforts.

- **Recovery Plans:** Some nations have incorporated environmentally friendly efforts into their recovery plans, including investments in green jobs, sustainable infrastructure, and renewable energy.
- The epidemic sparked conversations about redefining growth models, with an emphasis on resilience, sustainability, and equity. This led to a rethinking of previously held priorities.
- The pandemic was responsible for short-term gains in environmental aspects due to less human activity; yet, the economic implications brought to light the complex relationship that exists between economic growth and sustainability. Finding a way to strike a balance between attempts to recover and practices that are sustainable continues to be a significant problem for leaders around the world.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the COVID-19 epidemic has been a defining moment, as it has thrown light on the complex relationship that exists between environmental sustainability and economic growth in ways that have never been seen before. The findings of this research have shed light on the myriad of repercussions and intricate interdependencies that surfaced as a result of this global crisis. This research has also highlighted the necessity of adopting a nuanced strategy in order to successfully navigate the intersection of these crucial areas.

In its early stages, the pandemic provided a glimpse of the potential benefits that reduced human activity could bring to the environment. These benefits included an improvement in air quality, a reduction in emissions, and a shift towards increasingly sustainable behaviours. On the other hand, these advancements were accompanied by a number of obstacles, the most notable of which was the expansion of single-use plastics and the strains placed on waste management systems. This highlights the complexities involved in maintaining sustainable trends in times of crisis.

While this was going on, the economic fallout was severe, which led to recessions and significant job losses. As a response, governments implemented significant interventions with the intention of

reviving the economy. These interventions frequently raised issues over the compatibility of short-term economic resuscitation measures with long-term sustainability expectations.

The results of this study have highlighted the importance of recalibrating our approach to growth and development, with the goal of achieving a harmonic merger of economic revitalization and environmental stewardship. Consequently, it has brought to light the formation of green recovery plans, sustainable investments, and an increasing emphasis on models for future development that are resilient, equitable, and sustainable.

It is possible to pivot towards more sustainable trajectories by taking use of the opportunities presented by the lessons learnt from this catastrophe, which act as a catalyst for change. They emphasise the significance of incorporating environmental considerations into economic policy, encouraging innovation, and making use of technology in order to find solutions that are sustainable.

As we move forward, it will become increasingly important for individuals, businesses, governments, and civil society organisations to work together on matters of mutual concern. A more robust and sustainable future can be envisioned as a result of a coordinated effort to promote the use of renewable energy, sustainable infrastructure, circular economy practices, and mindful consumerism.

In summary, the purpose of this research study is to make a contribution to the current conversation about the complex relationship that exists between environmental sustainability and economic growth. It suggests that a holistic strategy that takes into account the mutually beneficial nature of these two fields should be taken into consideration. We have the ability to lead the path for a post-pandemic world that not only rebuilds economies but also secures a vibrant and sustainable planet for future generations if we are able to harness the lessons gained and embrace radical change.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest by the author in this manuscript.

References

1. Guerriero, C., Haines, A. & Pagano, M. (2020). Health and sustainability in post-pandemic economic policies. *Nat Sustain*, 3, 494-496. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-0563-0>
2. Bai, Y., Yao, L., Wei, T., Tian, F., Jin, D.Y., Chen, L. & Wang, M. (2020). Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier Transmission of

- COVID-19. *Jama*, 323(14), 1406-1407.
3. Becchetti, L., Conzo, G., Conzo, P. & Salustri, F. (2020). Understanding the Heterogeneity of Adverse COVID-19 Outcomes: The Role of Poor Quality of Air and Lockdown Decisions. Available at SSRN 3572548.
4. Munasinghe, Mohan (2020). COVID-19 and sustainable development. *International Journal of Sustainable Development*, 23(1). 10.1504/IJSD. 2020.10034408.
5. Bajpai, Nirupam and Biberman, John (2020). COVID-19 and Pathways to Sustainable Development. ICT India Working Paper #38, 02-22.
6. Lenzen, M., Li, M., Malik, A., Pomponi, F., Sun, Y.Y., Wiedmann, T., et al. (2020) Global socio-economic losses and environmental gains from the Coronavirus pandemic. *PLoS ONE* 15(7): e0235654. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235654>
7. Otero, I., Farrell, K. N., Pueyo, S., Kallis, G., Kehoe, L., Haberl, H., ..., Martin, J.L. (2020). Biodiversity policy beyond economic growth. *Conservation Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12713>
8. Becker, S.D., Mahlendorf, M.D., Schäffer, U. and Thaten, M. (2016). Budgeting in times of economic crisis. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 33(4), 1489-1517.
9. Becker, S.D. (2014). When organisations deinstitutionalise control practices: A multiple-case study of budget abandonment. *European Accounting Review*, 23(4), 593-623.
10. Ding, W. Levine, R. Lin, C. and Xie, W. (2020). Corporate immunity to the COVID-19 pandemic. NBER Working Paper No. 27055 (online article).
11. Doern, R., Williams, N. and Vorley, T. (2019). Special issue on entrepreneurship and crises: business as usual? An introduction and review of the literature, *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 31(5-6), 400-412.
12. Khan, M., Serafeim, G. and Yoon, A. (2015). Corporate sustainability: First evidence on materiality. *The Accounting Review*, 91(6), 1697-1724.
13. Lins, K.V., Servaes, H. and Tamayo, A. (2017). Social capital, trust, and firm performance: The value of Corporate Social Responsibility during the financial crisis. *Journal of Finance*, 72(4), 1785-1824.
14. Sautet, F. (2013). Local and Systemic Entrepreneurship: Solving the Puzzle of Entrepreneurship and Economic Development. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 37(2), 387-402.